

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/344,676	06/25/1999	WILLIAM P. VAN ANTWERP	PD-0310	9328		
22462	7590 08/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	COOPER LLP TUGHES CENTER		LUKTON	, DAVID		
	ER DRIVE WEST, SUIT	E 1050	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90045		1654			
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/200	DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Communication Box Amnool	09/344,676	VAN ANTWERP ET AL.					
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David Lukton	1654					
– The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess –				
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not	acceptable because:		•				
(a) it was not timely filed.							
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal v	was not submitted. See 37 (CFR 41.20(b)(1).					
(c) the appeal fee received on wa	s not timely filed.						
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient	cient. The appeal fee require	ed by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$	<u>_</u> .				
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 3	37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no	o claim has been twice rejected.	•				
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was	mailed by the Office on						
2 The annual heighful on the NOT and	pontoble for the recent(s) in	ligated helevy					
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acc	ceptable for the reason(s) inc	licated below:					
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely.	See 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has	s not been submitted. See 3	37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).					

The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).

(c) the submitted brief fee of \$____ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$__

3	M	The	anneal	in this	: annlic	ation is	: DISM	NSSFD.	because:

- (a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.
- (b) the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.
- (c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on
- (d) other:

4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

- (a) \(\sqrt{\operation} \) is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.
- (b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.
- (c) is before the examiner for consideration.

De Lyklan 8/15/05

On 8/9/05, Karen Canady confirmed that a response to the final rejection was not submitted.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-461 (Rev. 9-04) Part of Paper No. 20050801

Communication Re: Appeal